



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & I	Section	R16
Academic Year	2018 - 2019	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		EDC	STLD	RVSP	SS	NA	MEFA
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	3	3	3	2	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	2	2	2	3	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	3	3	3	2	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	2	2	2	3	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	3	3	3	2	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	2	2	2	3	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	3	3	3	2	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	2	2	2	3	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	3	3	3	2	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	2	2	2	3	3
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	2	3	2	3	2
<b>or Lab Courses only</b>		<b>EDC</b>	<b>NA&amp;ET</b>				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	2				
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	3				

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department

Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

PRINCIPAL

GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director

DIRECTOR

G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & I	Section	R16
Academic Year	2019 - 2020	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		EDC	STLD	RVSP	SS	NA	MEFA
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	3	2	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	2	3	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	3	2	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	2	3	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	3	2	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	2	3	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	3	2	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	2	3	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	3	2	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	2	3	3	2	3

### Average feedback of total students

For Lab Courses only		EDC	NA&ET				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	2				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3				
Average feedback of total students		3	3				

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

*KGV*

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director

DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY  
ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P., India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P., India.

E-mail: [gvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & II	Section	R16
Academic Year	2018 - 2019	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		ECA	PDC	EMWTL	AC	CS	MS
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	2	3	2	3	2	3
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	3	2	3	2	3	2
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	2	3	2	3	2	3
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	3	2	3	2	3	2
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	2	3	2	3	2	3
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	3	2	3	2	3	2
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	2	3	2	3	2	3
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	3	2	3	2	3	2
9	I gave my best effort in this course	2	3	2	3	2	3
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	3	2	3	2	3	2
Average feedback of total students		2	3	2	3	2	3
or Lab Courses only		ECA	AC				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	3				
Average feedback of total students		3	3				

Signature of the HOD

*KSH*

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

PRINCIPAL

GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director

G.V.R. & S. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & II	Section	R16
Academic Year	2019 - 2020	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		ECA	PDC	EMWTL	AC	CS	MS
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	2	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	3	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	2	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	3	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	2	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	3	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	2	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	3	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	2	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	3	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
For Lab Courses only		ECA	AC				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3				
Average feedback of total students		3	3				

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

*KSL*

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director

G.V.R. & S. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & I	Section	R16
Academic Year	2018 - 2019	Department	ECE

### L.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		LICA	DC	AWP	CAO	DICA	EHV
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
For Lab Courses only		LICA	PDC	DICA			
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3				
Average feedback of total students		3	3				

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

KSW

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director

Director  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvsr\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvsr_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & I	Section	R16
Academic Year	2019 - 2020	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		LICA	DC	AWP	CAO	DICA	EHV
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	3	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	2	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	3	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	2	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	3	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	2	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	3	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	2	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		LICA	PDC	DICA			
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3				
Average feedback of total students		3	3				

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

KSR

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director

GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & II	Section	R16
Academic Year	2018 - 2019	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		MWE	VLSI	DSP	MPMC	BME	IPR
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	2	3	2	3	2	3
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	3	2	3	2	3	2
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	2	3	2	3	2	3
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	3	2	3	2	3	2
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	2	3	2	3	2	3
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	3	2	3	2	3	2
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	2	3	2	3	2	3
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	3	2	3	2	3	2
9	I gave my best effort in this course	2	3	2	3	2	3
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	3	2	3	2	3	2
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		2	3	2	3	2	3
<b>For Lab Courses only</b>		<b>VLSI</b>	<b>MPMC</b>	<b>DC</b>			
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3				
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	3				

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
**GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.**  
GUNTUR - 522017

*[Handwritten Signature]*

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
G V R & S EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P., India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P., India.

E-mail: [gvrscet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvrscet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & II	Section	R16
Academic Year	2019 - 2020	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		MWE	VLSI	DSP	MPMC	BME	IPR
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		VLSI	MPMC	DC			
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	2	3			
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	2	2			
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3	3			
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3	3			
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3			

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

K&L

Signature of the Director

G.V.R. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
30/10/2019  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvrscet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvrscet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrsc.ac.in](http://www.gvrsc.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & I	Section	R16
Academic Year	2018 - 2019	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		VLSI	RS	DIP	OC	CN	CAO
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
For Lab Courses only		MW & OC	VLSI				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	2				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	3				
Average feedback of total students		2	3				

Signature of the HOD  
Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

KSL  
PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director  
DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
GUNTUR ROAD,  
GUNTUR - 522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvrscet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvrscet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & I	Section	R16
Academic Year	2019 - 2020	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		VLSI	RS	DIP	OC	CN	CAO
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		MW & OC	VLSI				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	2				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	3				
Average feedback of total students		2	3				

Signature of the Head of the Department  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

K&N

PRINCIPAL  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
 Signature of the Director  
 GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
 GUNTUR - 522017 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvrscet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvrscet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrsc.ac.in](http://www.gvrsc.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & II	Section	R16
Academic Year	2018 - 2019	Department	ECE

### L.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		WSN	ES	CMC	EMI		
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2		
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3		
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2		
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3		
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2		
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3		
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2		
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3		
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2		
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3		

### Average feedback of total students

#### For Lab Courses only

11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities						
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab						
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab						
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.						

### Average feedback of total students

Signature of the HOD  
Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

*KW*  
PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
Signature of the Director  
GUNTUR - 522007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P., India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P., India.

E-mail: [gvsr\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvsr_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvsr.ac.in](http://www.gvsr.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & II	Section	R16
Academic Year	2019 - 2020	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		WSN	ES	CMC	EMI		
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2		
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3		
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2		
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3		
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2		
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3		
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2		
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3		
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2		
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3		
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2		
<b>or Lab Courses only</b>							
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities						
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab						
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab						
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.						
Average feedback of total students							

Signature of the HOD  
Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
Signature of the Director  
GUNTUR-522007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & II	Section	R16
Academic Year	2020 - 2021	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		WSN	ES	CMC	EMI	Σ	
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	3	3	2		
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3		
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2		
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3		
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2		
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3		
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2		
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3		
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2		
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3		
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	2	3	2		
<b>For Lab Courses only</b>							
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities						
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab						
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab						
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.						
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>							

Signature of the Head of the Department  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

*Kew*  
 PRINCIPAL  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director  
 K.G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
 BARRAD,  
 GUNTUR - 522017 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & I	Section	R19
Academic Year	2020 - 2021	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		EDC	STLD	RVSP	SS	NA	MEFA
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	3	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	2	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	3	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		EDC	NA&ET				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	2				
Average feedback of total students		2	3				

Signature of the Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

*KSW*  
PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & II	Section	R19
Academic Year	2020 - 2021	Department	ECE


### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		ECA	LICA	EMTL	AC	CAO	
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	2	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	3	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	2	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	3	3	2	2	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	3	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students							
or Lab Courses only		ECA	AC				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	2				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	2				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	2				
Average feedback of total students		3	2				

Signature:   
 Head of the Department  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

  
 PRINCIPAL  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

  
 Signature of the Director  
 G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
 RING ROAD,  
 GUNTUR - 522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & II	Section	R19
Academic Year	2021 - 2022	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

Sl.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		ECA	LICA	EMTL	AC	CAO	
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3

### Average feedback of total students

For Lab Courses only		ECA	AC				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	2				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	2				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	2				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3				
Average feedback of total students		3	2				

Signature of the HOD  
Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director  
S.G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR - 522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P., India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P., India.

E-mail: [gvsr\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvsr_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvsr.ac.in](http://www.gvsr.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & I	Section	R19
Academic Year	2020 - 2021	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		LICA	DC	AWP	CAO	DICA	PE & HV
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3

### Average feedback of total students

or Lab Courses only		LICA	PDC	DICA			
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	2	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	2				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	3				
Average feedback of total students		2	3				

Signature of the Head of the Department  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GUNTUR - 522017

K&K

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Head of the Department  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & I	Section	R19
Academic Year	2021 - 2022	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		LICA	DC	AWP	CAO	DICA	PE&HV
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	2	3	2	3	2
<b>or Lab Courses only</b>		LICA	PDC	DICA			
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	2	2				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	3				
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		2	3				

Signature of the Head of the Department  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

KSR

PRINCIPAL  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director  
 G.V.R. & S. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
 RING ROAD,  
 GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & II	Section	R19
Academic Year	2020 - 2021	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		WWTD	VLSI	DSP	DM	CMC	IOT
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		VLSI	DSP				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	2				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	2				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	2				
Average feedback of total students		3	2				

Signature of the Department Head  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

*KLR*  
 PRINCIPAL  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director  
 G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
 RING ROAD,  
 GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & II	Section	R19
Academic Year	2021 - 2022	Department	ECE

### L.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		WWTD	VLSI	DSP	DM	CMC	IOT
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	2	3	2	3	2	3
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	3	2	3	2	3	2
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	2	3	2	3	2	3
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	3	2	3	2	3	2
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	2	3	2	3	2	3
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	3	2	3	2	3	2
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	2	3	2	3	2	3
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	3	2	3	2	3	2
9	I gave my best effort in this course	2	3	2	3	2	3
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	3	2	3	2	3	2
Average feedback of total students		2	3	2	3	2	3
For Lab Courses only		VLSI	DSP				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	2				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	3				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	3				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3				
Average feedback of total students		3	3				

Signature of the Head of the Department  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

K&K

PRINCIPAL

GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
 G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
 Signature of the Director  
 GUNTUR-522007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvsr\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvsr_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & I	Section	R19
Academic Year	2022 - 2023	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		RS	DIP	OC	ESS	CN	ES
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		MW & OC	DSP				
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3				
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	2				
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	2				
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	3				
Average feedback of total students		2	3				

Signature of the HOD

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

KSL

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR - 522007 (A.P.)  
Signature of the Director

*[Handwritten Signature]*



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & II	Section	R19
Academic Year	2020 - 2021	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		WC	CSP				
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2				
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3				
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2				
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3				
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2				
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3				
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2				
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3				
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2				
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3				
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	2				
<b>For Lab Courses only</b>							
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities						
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab						
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab						
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.						
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>							

Signature of the HOD

KSL

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P.)  
Signature of the Director



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvrscet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvrscet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & II	Section	R19
Academic Year	2021 - 2022	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		WC	CSP				
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	2	3				
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	3	2				
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	2	3				
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	3	2				
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	2	3				
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	3	2				
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	2	3				
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	3	2				
9	I gave my best effort in this course	2	3				
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	3	2				

### Average feedback of total students

#### or Lab Courses only

11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities						
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab						
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab						
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.						

### Average feedback of total students

Signature of the Department Head  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

*K&L*  
 PRINCIPAL  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
 Signature of the Director  
 G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
 RING ROAD,  
 GUNTUR-522007 (A.P.)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & I	Section	R20
Academic Year	2021 - 2022	Department	ECE

### I.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		EDC	STLD	RVSP	SS	M-III	
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	3	3	2	3	2	
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	
For Lab Courses only		EDC	STLD	OOPS	PP		
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	2	3	2	3		
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	3	2	2		
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	2	3	3		
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	3	2	3		
Average feedback of total students		2	3	2	3		

Signature of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

KVL

PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
SIGNING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522017 (A.P.)

Signature of the Director



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [gvsr\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvsr_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & I	Section	R20
Academic Year	2023 - 2024	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		EDC	STLD	RVSP	SS	M-III	
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	2	3	2	3	
<b>For Lab Courses only</b>		<b>EDC</b>	<b>STLD</b>	<b>OOPS</b>	<b>PP</b>		
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities						
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab						
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab						
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.						
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>							

Signature of the HOD  
Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

*KLV*  
PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

Signature of the Director  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522 007 (A.P)



# GVR & S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & II	Section	R20
Academic Year	2021 - 2022	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		ECA	DICD	AC	LCS	MOB	COI
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	2	3	2	3	2
<b>For Lab Courses only</b>		ECA	AC	DICD	SKILLS		
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3	3	3		
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3	3	2		
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	2	2	2		
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	2	3	2		
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		2	3	3	2		

Signature of the HOD  
Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

  
PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
Signature of the Director  
GUNTUR - 522 007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	II & II	Section	R20
Academic Year	2023 - 2024	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		ECA	DICD	AC	LCS	MOB	COI
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		ECA	AC	DICD	SKILLS		
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	2	3	3		
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	3	2	3		
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	2	2	3		
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	2	2	3		
Average feedback of total students		3	2	2	3		

Signature of the HOD Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

PRINCIPAL

GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
Signature of the Director  
GUNTUR - 522017 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & I	Section	R20
Academic Year	2022 - 2023	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		AICA	EMTL	DC	OS	CAO	ITK
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		AICA	DC	DS JAVA			
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	2	3			
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	2	3	3			
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3	2	2			
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	3	2	2			
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3			

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
Signature of the Head  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

*KSH*  
PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
Signature of the Director  
G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR-522007 (A.P.)



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt.of.A.P, India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P, India.

E-mail: [qvrs\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:qvrs_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.qvrs.ac.in](http://www.qvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	III & II	Section	R20
Academic Year	2023 - 2024	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a)High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		MPMC	VLSI	DSP	ES	CN	RM
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	3	2	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	2	3	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	3	2	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	2	3	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	3	2	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	2	3	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	3	2	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	2	3	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	3	2	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	2	3	3	2	3
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		3	3	2	2	3	2
<b>For Lab Courses only</b>		<b>MPMC</b>	<b>VLSI</b>	<b>DSP</b>	<b>ARM</b>		
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3	3	2	3		
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3	3	2	3		
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	2	3	2	2		
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2	2	3	2		
<b>Average feedback of total students</b>		2	3	2	3		

Head of the Department  
 Electronics & Communication Engineering  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

KW

PRINCIPAL  
 GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
 GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
 G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
 SIGNATURE OF THE DIRECTOR  
 GUNTUR - 522017 (A.P.)

*[Signature]*



# GVR&S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK, Govt. of A.P., India.)

Ganginenipuram, Budampadu, Etukuru (P.O), Guntur (Dt) – 522017, A.P., India.

E-mail: [gvsr\\_cet@yahoo.com](mailto:gvsr_cet@yahoo.com), website: [www.gvrs.ac.in](http://www.gvrs.ac.in)

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Year & Semester	IV & I	Section	R20
Academic Year	2023 - 2024	Department	ECE

### 1.4.1: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK

Give grading to which the syllabus augments course in attaining their defined objectives with (a) High - 3 (b) Moderate 2 (c) Low - 1 (d) Poor - 0

S.NO	Questionnaire	SUB-1	SUB-2	SUB-3	SUB-4	SUB-5	SUB-6
		OC	SC	IOT	CS	UHV	DLT
1	Did The course achieve its stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
2	Have you acquired the stated skills	2	3	2	3	2	3
3	Whether the stated syllabus is adequate to achieve the stated objectives	3	2	3	2	3	2
4	Whether the instructor has helped you in acquiring the stated skills?	2	3	2	3	2	3
5	Whether the instructor has given the real life applications of the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
6	Whether the tests, assignments, projects and grading were fair?	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	The instructional approach (es) used was (were) appropriate to the course?	3	2	3	2	3	2
8	The instructor motivated me to do my best work	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	I gave my best effort in this course	3	2	3	2	3	2
10	To what extent you feel the course outcomes have been achieved?	2	3	2	3	2	3
Average feedback of total students		3	2	3	2	3	2
or Lab Courses only		XILINX					
11	I was provided with adequate orientation and guidance for proceeding with laboratory activities	3					
12	The instructor (s) was (were) helpful in assisting with problems and difficulties in the lab	3					
13	Space & facilities were adequate for required activities of the lab	3					
14	Instructor provided material required for the lab.	2					
Average feedback of total students		3					

Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering  
Signature of the HOD. & Tech.  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

*KW*  
PRINCIPAL  
GVR & S College of Engg. & Tech.  
GUNTUR - 522017

DIRECTOR  
S.G.V.R. & S. EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY  
RING ROAD,  
GUNTUR - 522007 (A.P.)  
Signature

*[Signature]*